

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)


| | | |
|--|-----------|---|
| (51) International Patent Classification 6 : H04L 9/00 | A1 | (11) International Publication Number: WO 00/10283 (43) International Publication Date: 24 February 2000 (24.02.00) |
|--|-----------|---|

| | |
|--|--|
| (21) International Application Number: PCT/US99/18303 | (81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). |
| (22) International Filing Date: 10 August 1999 (10.08.99) | |
| (30) Priority Data: 09/133,979 14 August 1998 (14.08.98) US | |
| (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): INTEL CORPORATION [US/US]; 2200 Mission College Boulevard, Santa Clara, CA 95052 (US). | |
| (72) Inventor; and | Published |
| (75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): HANNAH, Eric, C. [US/US]; 3046 Strawberry Hill, Pebble Beach, CA 93953 (US). | With international search report. |
| (74) Agents: MILLIKEN, Darren, J. et al.; Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP, 7th floor, 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90025 (US). | |

(54) Title: DIGITAL CONTENT PROTECTION USING A SECURE BOOTING METHOD AND APPARATUS

(57) Abstract

A method and system of protecting content by ensuring a secure boot of a processor environment. A processor is coupled to a certification unit which may include a decryption engine (12) and a one-way hash unit (14). The certification unit is employed to ensure that only certified software is permitted access to memory containing content and that only certified software may access to memory (22) containing and that only certified software may access a content bit stream such as may flow across a 1394 serial bus from a digital video player (26). By preventing access by uncertified software, the risk of a personal computer being used as an instrumentality of piracy is reduced.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

| | | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------|----|---------------------|----|-----------------------|----|--------------------------|
| AL | Albania | ES | Spain | LS | Lesotho | SI | Slovenia |
| AM | Armenia | FI | Finland | LT | Lithuania | SK | Slovakia |
| AT | Austria | FR | France | LU | Luxembourg | SN | Senegal |
| AU | Australia | GA | Gabon | LV | Larvia | SZ | Swaziland |
| AZ | Azerbaijan | GB | United Kingdom | MC | Monaco | TD | Chad |
| BA | Bosnia and Herzegovina | GE | Georgia | MD | Republic of Moldova | TG | Togo |
| BB | Barbados | GH | Ghana | MG | Madagascar | TJ | Tajikistan |
| BE | Belgium | GN | Guinea | MK | The former Yugoslav | TM | Turkmenistan |
| BF | Burkina Faso | GR | Greece | MC | Republic of Macedonia | TR | Turkey |
| BG | Bulgaria | HU | Hungary | ML | Mali | TT | Trinidad and Tobago |
| BJ | Benin | IE | Ireland | MN | Mongolia | UA | Ukraine |
| BR | Brazil | IL | Israel | MR | Mauritania | UG | Uganda |
| BY | Belarus | IS | Iceland | MW | Malawi | US | United States of America |
| CA | Canada | IT | Italy | MX | Mexico | UZ | Uzbekistan |
| CF | Central African Republic | JP | Japan | NE | Niger | VN | Viet Nam |
| CG | Congo | KE | Kenya | NL | Netherlands | YU | Yugoslavia |
| CH | Switzerland | KG | Kyrgyzstan | NO | Norway | ZW | Zimbabwe |
| CI | Côte d'Ivoire | KP | Democratic People's | NZ | New Zealand | | |
| CM | Cameroon | | Republic of Korea | PL | Poland | | |
| CN | China | KR | Republic of Korea | PT | Portugal | | |
| CU | Cuba | KZ | Kazakhstan | RO | Romania | | |
| CZ | Czech Republic | LC | Saint Lucia | RU | Russian Federation | | |
| DE | Germany | LJ | Liechtenstein | SD | Sudan | | |
| DK | Denmark | LK | Sri Lanka | SE | Sweden | | |
| EE | Estonia | LR | Liberia | SG | Singapore | | |

DIGITAL CONTENT PROTECTION USING A SECURE BOOTING METHOD AND APPARATUS

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

(1) Field of the Invention

The invention relates to digital content protection. More specifically, the invention relates to a secure booting mechanism for content protection.

(2) Background

Increasingly, content such as the video and audio of Hollywood movies is becoming available in digital form. Particularly with the proliferation of digital video disks (DVDs) every bit of data that makes up the content is available for manipulation. Currently, industry groups and Hollywood are working together on a strong encryption that will protect the content from piracy. Even as the strong encryption is developed, efforts are being made to break the strong encryption.

Typically, the content, be it audio, video, or stills, might flow across a serial bus and into main memory. The serial bus may be an IEEE 1394 bus which is defined in the IEEE specification IEEE Standard for a High Performance Serial Bus, IEEE Std. 1394-1995 published August 30, 1996 (1394-1995 Standard) or a subsequent revision thereof. Once the bits, even if encrypted, are stored in main memory, software executing on the processor can access those bits. The internet exacerbates the protection problem because it provides a vast network of processors which can all work to break the encryption. Even an unsophisticated user could download a favorite cracking program from the internet, then upload the results of their cracking effort and, over a relatively short period of time, the global network is likely to decrypt the content.

Instrumentalities of piracy can subject their manufacturers to huge potential liability. Here, since a personal computer (PC) can be used without modification to pirate digital content through a lawful activity (downloading from the internet), it is quite possible that PCs may be found

by a court to be an instrumentality of piracy. Processor manufacturers will likely find it desirable to take steps to avoid this possible liability. To that end, products shipped should not enable piracy with a simple download.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

An apparatus and method of providing content protection by secure booting is disclosed. A processor core is coupled to a certification unit. The certification unit certifying a BIOS stored in memory responsive to a start-up request.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a block diagram of a system of one embodiment of the invention.

Figures 2a and 2b show a flow chart of startup certification in one embodiment of the invention.

Figure 3 is a block diagram of a system of an alternate embodiment of the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Figure 1 is a block diagram of the system of one embodiment of the invention. A processor core 10 is coupled to a memory 22 by a bus 32. Memory 22 is partitioned into operating system (O/S) protected memory 24 which is deemed uncopyable by applications. In the remainder of the memory, which may be freely copied and/or accessed by applications executing on the processor core, a software certification unit 28 is provided to ensure that software executing on the processor core 10 is certified. Certification unit 28 includes an decryption engine 12, a hash unit 14, and a comparator 16. A flash key storage unit 18 is coupled to the decryption engine 12 to provide it with keys necessary for it to perform its decryption function. In one embodiment, decryption engine 12 will perform public key

decryption and verification of digital signatures. A flash unit 20 provides authorized hash values to one input of comparator 16 as part of the certification process. Flash unit 18 and flash unit 20 may be a single flash memory unit. A digital video disk player (DVD) 26 is coupled to memory by a serial bus 34 which in one embodiment of the invention implements a 1394 protocol. In operation, a content bit stream either encrypted or unencrypted will flow across the serial bus 34 to memory 22. In one embodiment, the bit stream will be stored in protected memory 24. In that manner, if the O/S is not compromised, the content cannot be copied and pirated. Certification of the BIOS and O/S described below reduces the risk of unauthorized access to content.

Typically, software entering the system is routed through decryption engine 12. If the software is encrypted, decryption engine 12 decrypts the software and forwards it to either or both of the processor core and hash unit 14. Hash unit 14 forms a one-way hash of the incoming software. The resulting hash value is compared with an authorized hash value which is retrieved from the flash unit 20, responsive to a signal from the decryption engine. If the comparator does not find identity between the authorized hash value and the incoming hash value, a hardware lock signal 30 is asserted and the software is not permitted entry to the processor core 10. As used herein, software may refer generically to BIOS, operating systems, or applications. Alternatively, when the hardware lock signal is asserted, the operating system may restrict access privileges of the uncertified applications.

At startup, the first software entering the certification unit will be the system BIOS. System BIOS is typically stored in non-volatile flash memory. The BIOS may be encrypted or unencrypted. In either case, a hash of the BIOS is compared with an expected hash of the BIOS. If the comparison does not result in an identity, the processor will not boot. Assuming the BIOS is successfully certified, it will begin to boot the operating system (O/S). The O/S must also be certified. It may follow the same certification process routing through the decryption engine and hash unit or alternatively, the BIOS may perform the certification. If the O/S is

successfully certified, the O/S or the BIOS may certify applications, or the applications may again be run through the certification unit 28.

Figures 2a and 2b show a flow chart of a secure boot in one embodiment of the invention. In response to a start request, e.g., power-up, restart, etc., the BIOS is loaded from a flash memory at functional block 110. The certification unit decrypts (if necessary) and hashes the BIOS at functional block 112. The hash of the BIOS is then compared with an expected hash for a certified BIOS. A determination is made at decision block 114 if the BIOS should be certified based on that comparison. If the BIOS is certified, the BIOS is allowed to execute at functional block 116.

Once the BIOS completes its initialization routines, the BIOS initiates a load of the operating system at functional block 118. The BIOS will then certify the O/S. Initially, at functional block 120, the BIOS will request an authentication certificate from the O/S. The O/S will return the authentication certificate via handshaking signals between it and the BIOS. The BIOS will determine if the authentication certificate is okay at decision block 122. If it is, the BIOS will perform a checksum on the operating system at functional block 124. By performing the checksum, the BIOS ensures that the operating system has not been hacked. This prevents the O/S certifying itself based on a certificate which is otherwise isolatable from the entire content of the O/S. In one embodiment, the O/S provides to the BIOS, in addition to its authentication certificate, an encrypted checksum. The encrypted checksum is compared with the checksum generated by the BIOS.

A determination is made based on the success or failure of the checksum comparison whether the checksum was okay at decision block 126. If the checksum was okay, the O/S is permitted to execute on the processor at functional block 128. The O/S may then load applications at functional block 130.

After the application is loaded, the O/S will request an authentication certificate from the application at function block 132. The operating system will determine if the authentication certificate is okay at decision block 134. If the authentication certificate is okay, the operating system will further

perform a checksum on the application at functional block 136. A determination is made at functional block 138 whether the checksum was okay. If the checksum is okay, the application will be allowed to access memory and content at functional block 140. If the authentication certificate provided by the application is not okay or the checksum fails, an implicit determination is made at decision block 142 whether the system permits non-certified applications. If it does permit non-certified applications, the operating system must police the applications to ensure that they are provided only limited memory access and no access to content at functional block 144. If the BIOS certification fails at decision block 114 or the operating system certification fails at decision blocks 122 or 126, or if non-certified applications are not allowed at implicit decision 142, a hardware interlock will occur at functional block 146 and access by the respective software will be denied.

In the foregoing discussion, the hardware certifies the BIOS and then the BIOS certifies the operating system and the operating system subsequently certifies incoming applications. It is also within the scope and contemplation of the invention for the hardware to perform all certification. It is further within the scope and contemplation of the invention to have the BIOS perform all certification beyond the certification of itself. However, by only requiring the hardware to certify the BIOS and then permitting the BIOS to certify the operating system and so forth, the flexibility of the system is increased. Specifically, it is possible that new versions of BIOS or other software will necessitate changes which are more easily made in the BIOS or operating system for certification purposes than to the hardware itself. The likelihood of such changes increases with each relative layer. This may be particularly important, where for example, one form of encryption is cracked or keys become known. It may be necessary to update the key store and/or the hash store. This may be done using a certified BIOS or a certified application, as appropriate.

Figure 3 is a block diagram of an alternative embodiment of the invention. A processor 50 is coupled to a bus 52. A system memory 54 is also coupled to the bus. The boot memory 60 containing BIOS 62 is

similarly coupled to the bus. A chipset 56 is coupled between the bus 52 and I/O bus 64. Chipset 56 includes a certification unit 58 which is used to certify the BIOS 62 before it is permitted control of the processor 50. Either the certification unit 58 or the BIOS 62 or both in conjunction may then certify subsequent software elements as described above.

In the foregoing specification, the invention has been described with reference to specific embodiments thereof. It will, however, be evident that various modifications and changes can be made thereto without departing from the broader spirit and scope of the invention as set forth in the appended claims. The specification and drawings are, accordingly, to be regarded in an illustrative rather than a restrictive sense. Therefore, the scope of the invention should be limited only by the appended claims.

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method comprising:
certifying a BIOS with a hardware instantiated certification unit;
certifying an operation system (O/S) with one of the hardwired instantiated certification unit and the BIOS once the BIOS is certified; and
preventing access by any uncertified software to a portion of a memory.
2. The method of claim 1 wherein the certification unit is either part of a chipset or part of the processor.
3. The method of claim 1 wherein certifying comprises:
verifying a digital signature.
4. The method of claim 1 further comprising:
certifying an application loaded into the memory.
5. The method of claim 1 further comprising:
aborting a boot if either the BIOS or the O/S fails certification.
6. The method of claim 1 wherein certifying an O/S comprises:
requesting and receiving an authentication certificate and an encryption checksum;
verifying the authentication certificate;
generating an actual checkscan for the O/S;
decrypting the encrypted checksum; and
comparing the encrypted checksum with the actual checksum.

7. An apparatus comprising:
 - a processor core;
 - a certification unit coupled to the processor core, the certification unit to certify software prior to execution on the processor core; and
 - a boot memory coupled to the processor core, the boot memory storing a BIOS, the BIOS certified by the certification unit responsive to a start request.
8. The apparatus of claim 7 further comprising:
 - a chipset coupled to the processor core and a system memory.
9. The apparatus of claim 7 wherein the processor core and the certification unit are fabricated on a single chip.
10. The apparatus of claim 8 wherein the certification unit is part of the chipset.
11. The apparatus of claim 7 further comprising:
 - a non-volatile key storage unit; and
 - wherein the certification unit comprises a one-way hash unit and a public key encryption engine.
12. A system comprising:
 - a bus;
 - a processor coupled to the bus;
 - a memory coupled to the bus;
 - a digital content source coupled to the memory to provide content that may be stored in the memory and processed by the processor; and
 - a certification unit wherein all software with access to the content is certified, directly or indirectly, by the certification unit.


13. The system of claim 12 wherein the certification unit is instantiated as part of a chipset.

14. The system of claim 12 wherein the certification unit is instantiated on a single chip with a processor core.

15. The system of claim 12 wherein the certification unit comprises:

an encryption engine; and
a hash unit.

1/4

**Fig. 1**




Fig. 2a





Fig. 2b

4/4

**Fig. 3**

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US99/18303

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) :H04L 9/00

US CL :380/4.23.25

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 380/4.23.25; 713/2.200

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

Please See Extra Sheet.

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category* | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
|-----------|---|-----------------------|
| Y | US 5,778,070 A (MATTISON et al) 07 July 1998, col.3, lines 25-30, 40-50, col.4, lines 14-25 | 1-15 |
| Y,P | US 5,835,594 A (ALBRECHT et al) 10 November 1998, col.1, lines 41-62, col.2, lines 55-66, col.4, lines 19-40. | 1-15 |
| Y,P | US 5,844,986 A (DAVIS) 01 December 1998, col.2, lines 1-7, 38-63, col.4, lines 19-46. | 1-15 |

 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.

| | | |
|---|-----|--|
| * Special categories of cited documents: | "T" | later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention |
| *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance | "X" | document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone |
| *B* earlier document published on or after the international filing date | "Y" | document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art |
| *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) | "Z" | document member of the same patent family |
| *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means | | |
| *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed | | |

Date of the actual completion of the international search

28 SEPTEMBER 1999

Date of mailing of the international search report

29 OCT 1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

GAIL HAYES

Telephone No. (703) 305-9711

Joni Hill

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

| |
|---|
| International application No. PCT/US99/18303 |
|---|

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Electronic data bases consulted (Name of data base and where practicable terms used):

APS

search terms: digital signature, BIOS,flash
memory,authentication,,certification,encrypt,decrypt,cipher,decipher,hash,secure